RAJASTHAN

Population and Development

A Discourse on Family Planning in Rajasthan

Purpose of this brief

This policy brief takes a look at the progress made by Rajasthan with respect to the family planning, and the reproductive and child health indicators in the state, the impact of increasing population on the health of the people, and overall development and resources of the state. The brief has two sections. In Section I data from the National Family Health Survey (NFHS), Sample Registration System (SRS), Census 2011, Annual Health Survey (AHS), and the Registrar General of India (RGI) Population Projections 2006 has been analysed to inform the national- and state-level policymakers and experts on the current status of Rajasthan's family planning programme. Section II of this brief presents population projections to inform the future course of population dynamics, estimate the resources required for family planning, and highlight the state's contribution to the achievement of the country's replacement level of fertility. The elaborate exercise of developing these projections was undertaken in 2012–13, and thus considers AHS, 2010–11 data.

Health Goals for India: 12th Five-Year Plan

- Reduction in Infant Mortality Rate to 25.

- Reduction of Maternal Mortality Ratio to 100.

- Reduction of Total Fertility Rate to 2.1.

- Prevention and reduction of anaemia among women ages 15–49 years to 28 per cent.

- Raising child sex ratio in the 0–6 age group from 914 to 950.

SECTION I

A. Increasing population in Rajasthan

Located in north-western part of the country, Rajasthan is the largest state in India, with a total area of 3,42,239 sq. km. The state is known for its rich and varied artistic and cultural traditions. The largest cities in Rajasthan are Jaipur, Jodhpur and Kota, and the economy of the state is primarily agricultural and pastoral. Rajasthan is the world's 18th largest sub-tropical desert. As per Census 2011 the population of Rajasthan stands at 6.86 crore, and the density of population in the state is 200 per sq. km.

Between 2001 and 2011, the population of Rajasthan has increased from 5.65 crore to 6.86 crore, an increase of 1.2 crore or 21.3 per cent in a decade. The total fertility rate (TFR)—the average number of lifetime births per woman by the time she reaches age 50—of Rajasthan is 2.9. The district-wise TFR varies from as high as 4.5 in district Barmer to 2.5 in Kota.

Figure 1 shows district-wise TFR in Rajasthan, and the difference in the TFRs of various districts. All the 32 districts have a TFR higher than the replacement level of fertility (2.1). Barmer, Dhaulpur and Banswara are three districts with TFR higher than or equal to 4 (4.5, 4.1 and 4 respectively). They need specific urgent attention in addressing their high TFR. Around 72 per cent districts (23 in total) have a TFR between 2.6 and 3.5, and 25 per cent districts (8 in total) have a TFR between 3.6 and 4.5.

TFR (AHS 2011-12)	# of districts	%age
4.1 – 4.5	2	6
3.6 – 4.0	6	18.1
3.1 – 3.5	6	21.2
2.6 – 3.0	17	51.5
2.1– 2.5	1	3
Total	32	100

Table 1: Districts categorised as per TFR. Source: AHS 2011–12.

Table 1 categorises the districts of Rajasthan according to their TFR.

Figure 1: District-wise TFR in Rajasthan. Source AHS, 2011–12.

District	TFR
Barmer	4.5
Dhaulpur	4.1
Banswara	4
Karauli	3.8
Udaipur	3.8
Jalor	3.7
Sawai Madhopur	3.7
Dungarpur	3.6
Rajsamand	3.5
Jaisalmer	3.3
Pali	3.2
Baran	3.1
Bharatpur	3.1
Rajasthan	3.1
Sirohi	3.1
Bikaner	3
Jhalawar	3
Ajmer	2.9
Tonk	2.9
Alwar	2.8
Bhilwara	2.8
Bundi	2.8
Churu	2.8
Dausa	2.8
Hanumangarh	2.8
Jodhpur	2.8
Nagaur	2.8
Jaipur	2.7
Jhunjhunun	2.7
Sikar	2.7
Chittaurgarh	2.6
Ganganagar	2.6
Kota	2.5

B. Slow and steady fertility decline in Rajasthan

Rajasthan's TFR has dropped consistently between 2001 and 2012, i.e. from 4 to 2.9 (SRS, Various Issues) (Figure 2). The TFR of Rajasthan is currently 2.9 children per woman (SRS, 2012). According to RGI Population Projections (2006), Rajasthan is likely to achieve replacement-level of fertility (i.e. 2.1) by 2021.

Figure 2: Trend in TFR in Rajasthan since 2001. Source: SRS, Various Issues.

Year	TFR
2001	4.0
2002	3.9
2003	3.8
2004	3.7
2005	3.7
2006	3.5
2007	3.4
2008	3.3
2009	3.3
2010	3.1
2011	3.0
2012	2.9

C. Drivers of increase in population that need to be addressed

Increase in population is a cumulative effect of fertility and mortality indicators, along with socioeconomic determinants. Key actions requiring urgent attention to ensure a check on the increasing population include:

C1. Reducing early marriage: Early marriage increases the length of time for which a girl is exposed to pregnancy, which in the absence of use of a family planning method can lead to higher levels of fertility affecting the overall population momentum. This is one of the key issues affecting Rajasthan, with around 39.9 per cent girls getting married before the age of 18 years (DLHS-3, 2007–08). Recent AHS (2011–12) data shows that a substantially high percentage of currently married women (ages 20–24 years) i.e. 54.1 per cent are married before the legal age of 18 years in Rajasthan. Girls completing schooling and higher education, and being gainfully employed results in their marrying at a later age, planning their families and becoming socially and economically empowered. Thus, focus needs to increase on enrolling girls in school, reducing drop out rates, and providing opportunities for higher education and employment. State departments need to emphasise on increased health and life-skills education in schools, increased counselling of young women by Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHA), Auxiliary Nurse Midwives (ANM), and other door-to-door and mass media campaigns.

C2. Reducing early childbirth: Early marriage is potentially linked to early childbirth, as it keeps the fertility levels high. As per AHS, 2011–12, 38.6 per cent women ages 15–19 years in Rajasthan were already mothers or pregnant at the time of the survey. Improved health education and community engagement at the community level by ASHAs and ANMs can help change social norms around expectations of first child immediately after marriage.

C3. Improving Maternal Mortality Ratio: Women who begin childbearing when they are younger than 18, are also at increased risk of complications during their pregnancy and during delivery. Rajasthan's maternal mortality ratio (MMR) was 445 in 2003. It has come down to 255 (SRS, 2013), a healthy improvement. However, it still continues to be high as compared to India's MMR of 178 (SRS, 2013). The pace of progress will need to be accelerated in order to reach the 12th Five-Year Plan goal of bringing down MMR to100 by 2017. There is a need to improve health service delivery, ensure availability of supplies and equipment, utilise funds effectively, ensure rigorous follow ups and provide continuum of care.

C4. Bringing down Infant and Under-Five Mortality Rates: The death rates of infants and children under the age of five in Rajasthan are 49 and 59 respectively (SRS, 2012). Infant mortality rate (IMR) and under-five mortality rate for India are 42 and 52 respectively. The state needs to make focused attempts to improve the IMR and under-five mortality rate by ensuring universal immunisation coverage; early detection and treatment of diarrhoea, pneumonia and malnutrition; community activation for wellbeing of children through the Village Health and Nutrition Days; improved access to quality nutrition supplementation at the Anganwadi centres; and reduction in harmful traditional practices for treatment of childhood illnesses.

**Figure 3: Maternal Mortality Ratio in select Indian states. (Source: SRS 2013).**

States	MMR
UP/Uttarakhand	292
Rajasthan	255
Odisha	235
MP/Chhattisgarh	230
Bihar/Jharkhand	219
INDIA	178
Punjab	155
Haryana	146
Karnataka	144
Gujarat	122
West Bengal	117
Andhra Pradesh	110
Tamil Nadu	90
Maharashtra	87
Kerala	66

Figure 4: Infant Mortality Rate in select Indian states. (Source: SRS 2012).

States	IMR
Madhya Pradesh	56
Assam	55
Odisha	53
Uttar Pradesh	53
Rajasthan	49
Bihar	43
Haryana	42
India	42
Andhra Pradesh	41
Jharkhand	38
Gujarat	38
Karnataka	32
West Bengal	32
Punjab	28
Maharashtra	25
Tamil Nadu	21
Kerala	12

C5. Improving contraceptive use among currently married women: Contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) is the proportion of women of reproductive age using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method at a given point of time. The CPR in Rajasthan is 59.4 per cent for any modern method (AHS, 2011–12). Though higher than the CPR in India (as per DLHS-3, 2007–8 estimates the CPR for India is 47.1 per cent), the state department needs to increase: access to quality contraceptive products and services through door-to-door delivery; postpartum IUCD for women who are delivering under Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY); male involvement and adoption of sterilisation; Family Planning week celebrations; efforts towards demand generation; and health education at the community level.

C6. Addressing high unmet need for family planning: Unmet need is defined as the proportion of women who want to delay or limit childbearing but are not using any family planning method (traditional or modern). Based on the AHS, 2011–12 data, 12.6 per cent currently married women in Rajasthan have an unmet need for family planning. These figures are much better than the national figures, which stand at 21.3 per cent (DLHS-3).

D. Family planning saves lives

Investing in family planning will help improve health and development in Rajasthan. In this direction following actions would be required:

- **Help couples in Rajasthan achieve desired family size.** Fifty-six per cent of women and 59 per cent of men consider the ideal family size to be two children or less (NFHS-3, 2005–06). NHFS-3 also elaborates that about two-thirds of men and women in Rajasthan want no more children, are already sterilised or have a spouse who is sterilised. Among those who want another child, 41 per cent of women and 47 per cent of men would like to wait at least two years. NFHS-3 findings point to a strong preference for sons in Rajasthan with only two per cent men and women wanting more daughters than sons. The desire for more children is strongly affected by women's number of sons. NFHS-3 findings also suggest that if all women in Rajasthan were to have only the number of children they wanted, the TFR would be 2.2.

- **Reduce childbearing risks.** High-risk births are a major cause of illnesses, disability and premature death among mothers and children (Feranil and Borda, 2008). High-risk births are defined as those that are spaced less than two years apart or born to mothers who are younger than 18 or older than 34, or who have more than three children. Infant mortality is 95 deaths per 1,000 live births in teenage mothers, compared with 60 deaths per 1,000

live births born to mothers ages 20–29 (NHFS-3). As per NFHS-3:

∗   Bearing children too close together in time is especially risky.

∗   The risk of death in the first year of life is more than five times as high for children born less than two years after a previous birth than for children whose mothers waited four or more years between births.

∗   Children whose mothers have no education are twice as likely to die before their first birthday as children whose mothers have completed at least 10 years of school.

- **Save lives:** As per UNFPA estimates widespread use of family planning could lower MMR by 20 per cent and IMR by as much as 25–30 per cent in developing countries. Spacing pregnancies farther apart can help women affected by anaemia and malnutrition become healthier and better prepared for pregnancy in the future and thus, have healthier babies. For women for whom pregnancy poses substantial health risks and for those who do not want any more children, voluntary sterilisation can be an option to prevent pregnancy permanently.

Flow chart:

Girls education → Increased women's employment → Delayed marriage → Delayed child bearing → Reduced TFR → Better maternal and child health

Population projections and expected levels of achievement for Rajasthan

This section presents the expected levels of achievement (ELA) for Rajasthan to address its unmet need for family planning along with the population projections for the state till 2022. The projections include the increase in population, projected number of acceptors of family planning methods, the increased demand for contraception, and projections of IMR and under-five mortality rate. In keeping with the urgent need to address the family planning requirements in the state, these projections are intended to inform the family planning programme and help the state gear up for future requirements to strengthen the family planning programme. These projections were developed in 2012–13, and thus consider AHS 2010–11 data.

A. Inputs and projection period

The population projections and the estimation of ELAs in Rajasthan and India took into consideration a set of inputs and assumptions. Two scenarios were considered—one with changed method mix and the other with an unchanged method mix.

- To compute the population projections, the universally accepted "Component Method" has been used. As per the method the population growth of a given geographic location is determined by three components: fertility, mortality, and migration.

- SPECTRUM Suite, a software package developed by Futures Group, was used to compute population projections and ELAs. In particular two models—DemProj and FamPlan—have been used to project the population, and family planning requirements, needed to reach the national goals to address the unmet need.

In view of the two subsequent plan periods (12th and 13th five-year plans), the projection period has been determined as 2011–22.

B. Assumptions and goal setting

The goal of reaching the unmet need for contraception has been fixed while keeping in mind the estimates of reaching the TFR of 2.1 provided by the Expert Committee on Projections, 2005–2006 (Office of the Registrar General of India, 2006). It is assumed that the unmet need for contraception will not fall beyond 4.7 per cent (Andhra Pradesh's level, NFHS-3, 2005–06), which has been the lowest in the country.

The overall goal is to "meet 60 per cent of the current unmet need for family planning (19.6%, AHS, 2010–11)." This will result in increasing the modern CPR from 58.8 per cent in 2010–11 to 70.2 per cent in 2022.

C. Scenarios for projections

Two scenarios have been created for population projections and ELAs:

Scenario A: Change in method mix proposed (based on the state's current level) for the projection period (2011–22).

Scenario B: The method mix will remain unchanged during the projection period (2011–22).

Currently, the method mix in Rajasthan is 77 per cent (at the AHS, 2010–11 level) for limiting methods against 23 per cent for spacing methods.

If Rajasthan has to reduce 60 per cent of its current unmet need, the CPR will need to increase from the current 58.8 per cent (AHS, 2010–11) to 70.2 per cent in 2022 (projected figures).

For Rajasthan, as per Scenario A the change in method mix proposed, based on the state's current level—for projection period (2011–22)—is 67 per cent of

limiting methods (a 10 per cent reduction) and 33 per cent of spacing methods by 2022. Presently the unmet need for family planning in the state is skewed towards spacing methods, as more than 60 per cent of unmet need (11.9% of 19.6%, AHS, 2010–11) has been directed towards spacing methods. Scenario B proposes an unchanged method mix (77 per cent limiting methods and 23 per cent spacing methods).

D. Population projections

Table 2 presents the projected population for India and Rajasthan as part of the two different scenarios. India's population is likely to exceed 1.30 billion by 2017 before reaching 1.38 billion in 2022. Rajasthan will add 7.5 million by 2017, and an additional 5.9

million by 2022 (Scenario A) as per these population projections. The projections indicate that under both the scenarios, the population projection in Rajasthan is almost the same till 2017. As per Scenario A the population of Rajasthan would be 82 million in 2022, whereas the projections against Scenario B show the population at 81.4 million.

State	Table 2: Projected Population as per Scenario A for Rajasthan and India (Millions)								
	2011			**2017**			**2022**		
	Male	**Female**	**Total**	**Male**	**Female**	**Total**	**Male**	**Female**	**Total**
Rajasthan	35.6	33.0	68.6	39.5	36.6	76.1	42.4	39.7	82.0
India	623.1	587.4	1210.6	671.5	634.3	1305.9	708.7	669.8	1378.5
	Projected Population as per Scenario B for Rajasthan and India (Millions)								
Rajasthan	35.6	33.0	68.6	39.5	36.6	76.0	42.2	39.2	81.4
India	623.1	587.4	1210.6	671.6	634.4	1306.1	709.1	670.2	1379.3

Photo Credits: Satvir Malhotra © Futures Group.

E. Contraceptive method mix

If Rajasthan has to increase its CPR from current 58.8 per cent (AHS, 2010–11) to 70.2 per cent in 2022 (projected figures); reduce at least 60 per cent of its total unmet need; and meet the demand for spacing (total unmet need=19.6%; spacing=11.9% and limiting=7.6%, AHS, 2010–11), a change of current method mix is proposed.

Instead of the current method mix (77% limiting & 23% spacing—Scenario B), Rajasthan could adopt a method mix of 67 per cent limiting and 33 per cent spacing (Scenario A) whilst ensuring improved counselling for clients to make informed and voluntary choices and provision of quality services, and not losing momentum of the uptake of spacing methods. As per the projections of Scenario A, 3.82 million women will require contraceptives for spacing and 0.35 million new acceptors will require limiting methods.

Table 3 and 4 present the projected number of acceptors of spacing and new acceptors of limiting methods under both the scenarios, to facilitate the planning process at the state level.

Table 3. Projected number of acceptors for **spacing methods**: Scenario A, if Rajasthan and India change the method mix (Rajasthan: Limiting= 67% and Spacing= 33%) (Numbers in millions)

State	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Rajas-than	1.87	1.96	2.12	2.28	2.46	2.64	2.82	3.01	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.82
India	31.04	32.52	33.12	33.74	34.34	34.89	35.42	35.97	36.49	36.98	37.44	37.92

Projected number of acceptors for **spacing methods**: Scenario B, if Rajasthan and India continue as today (Rajasthan: Limiting= 77% and Spacing= 23%)

State	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Rajas-than	1.87	1.93	2.03	2.15	2.27	2.4	2.52	2.65	2.78	2.91	3.04	3.18
India	31.04	32.08	33.01	33.99	34.96	35.91	36.85	37.84	38.82	39.79	40.75	41.7

Table 4. Projected number of new acceptors for **limiting methods**: Scenario A, if Rajasthan and India change the method mix (Rajasthan: Limiting= 67% and Spacing= 33%) (Numbers in millions)

State	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Rajas-than	0.38	0.34	0.36	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.35
India	5.17	5.05	5.3	5.34	5.37	5.39	5.66	5.7	5.75	5.81	5.88	6.07

Projected number of new acceptors for **limiting methods**: Scenario B, if Rajasthan and India continue as today (Rajasthan: Limiting= 77% and Spacing= 23%)

State	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Rajas-than	0.38	0.43	0.46	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.5	0.51	0.53	0.54
India	5.14	4.85	5.07	5.1	5.12	5.12	5.36	5.38	5.41	5.46	5.5	5.63

F. Increased demand for contraception

The number of married women in the reproductive age group (MWRA) will also increase over time, as the table below suggests (Table 5). These women will require contraceptives. Rajasthan will have to ensure access to a wide range of quality contraceptive products and services.

Table 5: Projections of MWRA for India and Rajasthan under Scenario A and Scenario B (Numbers in millions)

State	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Rajasthan	14.5	14.9	15.2	15.5	15.9	16.1	16.4	16.6	16.9	17.1	17.3	17.5
India	237.7	242.2	246.6	250.8	254.8	258.6	262.1	265.5	268.6	271.5	274.3	277

G. Contraceptive use and its influence on infant and child mortality

IMR is a serious health concern and directly associated with fertility rate along with other socioeconomic factors. With lower contraceptive use, there are chances of higher IMR. Rajasthan will fall short of achieving its Millennium Development Goal (MDG) for IMR of 28 per 1,000 live births by 2015. The situation regarding under-five mortality is similar. Table 6 projects the possible infant and under-five mortality rates that Rajasthan will have to plan for.

This indicates an urgent need to adopt strategies in a mission mode to address population growth and unmet need, increase age of marriage and first birth, and engage multiple stakeholders in increasing access to quality family planning services.

Table 6: Projections for IMR and Under-5 Mortality for Rajasthan and India						
	IMR			<5 MORTALITY		
	2012	2017	2022	2012	2017	2022
Rajasthan	41.5	34.7	28.5	52.3	42.8	34.7
India	41.3	34.1	27.3	52.1	42.0	33.1

H. Greater investment in family planning is the need of the hour in Rajasthan

Family planning saves lives by helping women prevent unintended pregnancies, delay early childbearing, and space births at least two years apart. In summary, meeting the unmet need for family planning reduces fertility rates, leading to improvements in women's and children's health. The population projections present the population scenario of Rajasthan against both the scenarios. Thus, the state functionaries need to systematically expand access to family planning services and address the unmet need for family planning.

The state will need to ensure additional focus on:

- Increasing access to a wide range of quality contraceptive products and services.
- Placing increased importance on spacing methods and encouraging spacing between children among couples.
- Encouraging increased participation of men in family planning.
- Increasing human resources and health facilities to address the unmet need for family planning.
- Accelerating efforts towards addressing the socioeconomic factors that impact fertility. These include: increasing the age of marriage for girls; increasing education levels among girls and women; and creating more employment opportunities for women, to create an enabling environment for women's empowerment and addressing the issue of son preference.

Photo: Satvir Malhotra © Futures Group

For more information contact:

Policy Unit, National Institute of Health and Family Welfare, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi–110067.
tel: 91 11 26165959/26166441/26188485 | fax: 91 11 2610 1623
web: www.nihfw.org

Health Policy Project, Futures Group, Plot No 359, 1st Floor, Udyog Vihar Phase – II, Gurgaon – 122016, Haryana, India
tel: +91 124 4702000 l fax: +91 124 4702042
web: www.futuresgroup.com